UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	v. Alaiya Minyonne Kennard	Case No. 1:09-cr-00045		
	Defendant	0430 NO. 1.00-01-000+0		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – Findings of	of Fact		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4 which the prison term is 10 years or more.), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B), for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in: .*		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been conviduous. S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim			
	the possession or use of a firearm or destrue a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	uctive device or any other dangerous weapon		
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has no			
	Alternative Findin	gs (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has cor	mmitted an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more i Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	s prescribed in:		
(0)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa			
√ (1)	Alternative Finding There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	igs (B)		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	afety of another person or the community.		
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	sons for Detention		
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti ✓ a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant has no recent employment history.	ion hearing establishes by clear and convincing		
	ndant has no ties with West Michigan.			
3. Defendant has numerous arrests while under court supervision.				
	ndant has used a false identity and an alias. Government's evidence against the Defendant is very strong.			
J. THE	Covernment's evidence against the Delendant is very strong.			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 2, 2009	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge